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Theoretical calculations of the Seebeck coefficients of bulk PbTe and PbTe based superlattices were described in the framework of Boltzmann equation, taking into account temperature dependent band gaps, nonparabolicity, and anisotropy of effective masses. It is shown that the temperature gradient along the superlattice layer works more effectively on the enhancement of the thermoelectric figure of merit than the temperature gradient normal to the superlattice layer. Calculated Seebeck coefficients were compared to the experimental values for n-type PbTe, p-type PbTe, and EuTe/PbTe superlattices. The Seebeck coefficient of p-type PbTe was higher than that of n-type PbTe. The relatively high Seebeck coefficient is explained by the contribution from other extrema in the valence band. The EuTe/PbTe [001] superlattice shows higher Seebeck coefficients than PbTe bulk owing to the large density of states. © 2009 American Institute of Physics.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Lead-salt IV-VI semiconductors have high thermoelectric figures of merit $Z = S^2\sigma/\kappa$ owing to the relatively high carrier mobility and low thermal conductivity. The figure of merit $Z$ increases also proportionally to the Seebeck coefficient squared, and a high Seebeck coefficient is very effective to increase the value of $Z$. Quantum well or superlattice (SL) structures are effective to decrease thermal conductivity. Enhancements of the Seebeck coefficient have been also reported in SL and quantum dot structures.1–3 In the discussion of the Seebeck coefficient, the Mott equation shown below is often used,4

$$S = -\frac{\pi^2 k^2 T}{3e} \left[ \frac{\partial \ln \sigma(E)}{\partial E} \right]_{E=E_F},$$

$$\approx -\frac{\pi^2 k^2 T}{3e} \left[ \frac{\partial \ln D(E)}{\partial E} \right]_{E=E_F},$$

where $\sigma(E)$ is the energy dependent conductivity and $D(E)$ corresponds to the density of states. This equation is applicable for metals in which the density of states varies slowly compared to the Fermi–Dirac distribution. Thus this equation is not suitable for the quantitative discussion of the Seebeck coefficients for SLs and nondegenerate semiconductors. Recently we observed an enhancement of Seebeck coefficients in EuTe/PbTe SLs and explained the enhancement through the semiconductor theory based on Boltzmann’s equation. In this paper, we describe the theoretical background more deeply and discuss the experimental Seebeck coefficients of n-type PbTe, p-type PbTe, and n-type EuTe/PbTe SLs.

II. THEORETICAL CALCULATION OF SEEBECK COEFFICIENTS

A. Seebeck coefficient of bulk and SL materials

The Seebeck coefficient of n-type semiconductor is given by

$$S = \frac{1}{e} \frac{d E_F}{d T} - \frac{1}{e} \frac{d (E_C - E_F)}{d T} + \frac{1}{e} \frac{d E_C}{d T},$$

where $E_F$ and $E_C$ are the Fermi level and conduction band edge energy, respectively. The first term on the right side of the equation is determined by the density of states, and the second term, which we denote as kinetic part, is determined by the balance between drift and thermal diffusion currents. The second term is calculated from the Boltzmann equation. This equation is expressed as

$$-\frac{f - f_0}{\tau} = -h^{-1} \nabla E(k) \cdot \nabla f + v \cdot \nabla f,$$

where $f_0$ is the Fermi–Dirac distribution, $f$ is a nonequilibrium distribution, $E(k)$ is the energy of the electron state at the wavenumber $k$, and $v$ is the velocity of the electron. If we consider only the first term of Eq. (3), the drift current $J_{\text{drift}}$ is derived as

$$J_{\text{drift}} = \sum_{\nu, m} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int (- ev_x) f d^3 k,$$

$$= \frac{e}{4\pi^2 k T} \sum_{\nu, m} \int \sigma_{\nu}^2 f_0 |1 - f_0| \frac{d E(k)}{d x} d^3 k,$$

where we took the X direction along the temperature gradient or electric field, while $v_X$ is the electron velocity along the temperature gradient, and the sumnation is taken for all valleys $V$ and for all subbands $m$ in the SL. In bulk semiconductor, the summation is taken for all valleys $V$. Thermal
diffusion current is calculated from the second term of Eq. (3) as
\[
J_{\text{thermal}} = \frac{e}{4\pi^2 k} \frac{dT}{dX} \sum_{V,m} \int \tau^2 v_0^2 (1 - f_0) \left( \frac{E(k) - E_F}{T} \right) \left( \frac{dT}{dX} \right) d^3 k.
\]

The Seebeck coefficient is determined by the condition \(J_{\text{drift}} + J_{\text{thermal}} = 0\), and the following equation for the kinetic term is obtained:
\[
\frac{1}{e} \frac{dE_C}{dT} = \frac{1}{e} \frac{d(E_C - E_F)}{dT} - \frac{1}{e} \sum_{V,m} \tau^2 v_0^2 (1 - f_0) \left( \frac{E(k) - E_F}{T} \right) d^3 k.
\]

With respect to Eq. (2), the Seebeck coefficient is given by
\[
S = -\frac{1}{e} \sum_{V,m} \tau^2 v_0^2 (1 - f_0) \left( \frac{E(k) - E_F}{T} \right) d^3 k.
\]

Conduction band edge energy \(E_C\) was eliminated in Eq. (6). Thus Eq. (6) can be used also for \(p\)-type materials or materials that include both electrons and holes such as narrow gap semiconductors and semimetals if we consider the contributions of all bands in the summation.

\[\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{m_{xx}} & \frac{1}{m_{xy}} & \frac{1}{m_{xz}} \\ \frac{1}{m_{yx}} & \frac{1}{m_{yy}} & \frac{1}{m_{yz}} \\ \frac{1}{m_{zx}} & \frac{1}{m_{zy}} & \frac{1}{m_{zz}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta}{m_t} & \frac{1}{m_t} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{m_t} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{m_t} & \frac{1}{m_t} & \frac{\sin^2 \theta - \cos^2 \theta}{m_t} \end{bmatrix}.\]

Here, we took the SL direction in the \(z\) direction and selected the \(x\) direction so that the longitudinal axis of the ellipsoid lies in the \(k_x\) direction. We used a coordinate transformation indicated below, where the constant energy surface becomes the sphere with an electron effective mass \(m_t\).

\[
\begin{bmatrix} k_x' \\ k_y' \\ k_z' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{m_{zz}}{m_t}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\frac{m_{xx}}{m_t}} & 0 \\ \frac{m_{yy}}{m_{xx}} & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{m_{zz}}{m_t}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_x \\ k_y \\ k_z \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{m_t}{m_{zz}}} & 0 & \frac{m_{yy} m_{zz}}{m_{xz}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{m_{zz}}{m_t} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}.
\]

In this transformation, the product \(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}\) is conserved and we can perform the integration in Eq. (7) simply in this new coordinate system if we consider that the \(k\)-coordinate electron velocity \(\mathbf{v}\) corresponding to the position \((k_x',k_y',k_z')\) is given by

\[\begin{bmatrix} k_x' \\ k_y' \\ k_z' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{m_{zz}}{m_t}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\frac{m_{xx}}{m_t}} & 0 \\ \frac{m_{yy}}{m_{xx}} & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{m_{zz}}{m_t}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_x \\ k_y \\ k_z \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{m_t}{m_{zz}}} & 0 & \frac{m_{yy} m_{zz}}{m_{xz}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{m_{zz}}{m_t} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}.
\]
Thus the Seebeck coefficient for the SL is given by

$$S_{SL} = -\frac{1}{e} \left( \sum_{V,m} \int \frac{d^4 k}{k^0} f_{\varepsilon_0} \varphi^2 \varphi f_{\varepsilon}(1-f_{\varepsilon}) \frac{E}{T} k^2 d\varphi dk' dk'' \right),$$

where $k'$ is the wavenumber in $k'_x-k'_y$ plane and $D'$ given by $(m_{zz}/m_t)^1/2$ is the SL period in the $x'y'z'$ coordinate. When we take the temperature gradient ($X$ direction) in the $x'y'$ plane with the angle $\alpha$ from the $x$ axis, the $v_x$ becomes

$$v_x = \frac{1}{\hbar} \left( \sqrt{\frac{m_z}{m_t}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial k'_x} + \frac{m_{zz}}{m_{zz}} \frac{\partial E}{m_z} \right) \cos \alpha + \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial E}{\partial k'_z} \sin \alpha,$$

and if the temperature gradient is the $z$ direction,

$$v_x = \frac{1}{\hbar} \left( \frac{m_z}{m_{zz}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial k'_z} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial E}{\partial k'_z} \right).$$

In bulk materials, we take the $z$ axis in the direction of the temperature gradient. Then the Seebeck coefficient is calculated simply by

$$S_{bulk} = -\frac{1}{e} \left( \sum_{V,m} \int \frac{d^4 k}{k^0} f_{\varepsilon_0} \varphi^2 \varphi f_{\varepsilon}(1-f_{\varepsilon}) \frac{E}{T} k^2 d\varphi dk' dk'' \right),$$

where the $v_x$ is given by

$$v_x = \frac{1}{\hbar} \left( \frac{m_z}{m_{zz}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial k'_z} = \frac{\hbar k'}{\sqrt{m_z m_t}} \frac{\cos \theta}{1 + \frac{2E}{E_g}} \right).$$

In the calculation, we considered $m_z=0.4m_0$ and $m_t=0.04m_0$ for PbTe, assuming that the band edge effective masses are proportional to the band gap. The conduction band offset of the EuTe/PbTe heterojunction was assumed to be 1000 meV with the parameter used in Ref. 6. Energy dependent relaxation time $\varpi \approx E^{-1/2}$ was used for the calculation assuming dominant acoustic-phonon scattering. Figure 2 shows the theoretical dependence of the Seebeck coefficients on donor concentration $N_D$ for the PbTe film, EuTe/PbTe 0.66 nm/5 nm [001] SL, and EuTe/PbTe 0.75 nm/5 nm [111] SL.

FIG. 2. The dependence of the Seebeck coefficient on donor concentration $N_D$ for the PbTe film, EuTe/PbTe 0.66 nm/5 nm [001] SL, and EuTe/PbTe 0.75 nm/5 nm [111] SL.

FIG. 3. The dependence of in-plane Seebeck coefficients on impurity concentration for $n$-type PbTe, $p$-type PbTe, and $n$-type EuTe/PbTe [001] SL with the parameter of temperature.
conductivity along the SL layer is much larger than that perpendicular to the layer, thermolectric figure of merit $ZT$ along the SL layer becomes higher than that perpendicular to the layer.

Figure 3 shows the dependence of the Seebeck coefficients on the impurity concentration for $n$-type PbTe, $p$-type PbTe, and $n$-type EuTe/PbTe [001] SL with the parameter of temperature. The absolute values of the Seebeck coefficients increase with temperature, but the significant increase in minority carriers decreases the Seebeck coefficient in the low impurity region. Since PbTe has almost same effective masses in the conduction and valence band at $L$-point of the Brillouin zone, the absolute value of Seebeck coefficient becomes almost the same if we consider only $L$-point carriers. In PbTe, there are certain indirect band extrema near the top of the valence band, and it is known that the PbTe becomes indirect gap semiconductor above 420 K. Possible positions of the extrema are along $\Sigma$ axes ($(110)$ directions) and $\Delta$ axes ($(100)$ directions) from the band structure calculation in empirical pseudopotential method. Sitter et al. showed the main axes of the second constant energy ellipsoids direct $(100)$ with the effective mass anisotropy approximately ten from the magnetoresistance measurement and proposed 12 $\Sigma$ ellipsoids with the main axes along the $(100)$ directions. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show the possible constant energy surfaces of the valence band. We assumed that the second valence band maxima are 50 meV below the $L$-point maxima at 300 K, and the valence band maxima increase relatively to the $L$-point maxima as 0.4 meV/K in the calculation of $p$-type PbTe in Fig. 3 using the model in Fig. 4(a). We used $m_x=0.49m_0$ and $m_y=0.35m_0$ for the second ellipsoid as was used in the calculation in Ref. 8.

### III. EXPERIMENTAL SEEBECK COEFFICIENTS

PbTe films were prepared on BaF$_2$(111) substrates and EuTe/PbTe short-period SLs with 5 nm PbTe and 1–2 ML (monolayer) EuTe were prepared on KCl (001) substrates by hot wall epitaxy using PbTe, Eu, and Te as source materials. The SL structure was confirmed by x-ray diffraction, and the quality of the SL structure prepared on KCl (001) substrate has also been ascertained by strong intersubband absorption in the EuTe/PbTe double-well SL. The substrate temperature during the growth was 280 °C, and the total thicknesses of the SLs were 1.5–4 $\mu$m. The film thickness was calculated from the optical transmission spectrum measured by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. The carrier concentration was obtained from Hall measurement. The Seebeck coefficient was measured with ZEM-2 (ULVAC-Riko). The measurement was performed in a helium atmosphere to decrease the temperature error. The temperature difference between two thermocouples was applied in 5 K. The Seebeck coefficient was measured within the error of 10%.

Table I shows the electrical properties of the PbTe films and EuTe/PbTe SLs with the electrical property of PbEuTe/PbTe SL for comparison. PbTe has carrier mobilities of 1700 cm$^2$/V s for $n$-type and 840 cm$^2$/V s for $p$-type, respectively. Our data are comparable to the reported ones. In $Pb_{1-x}Eu_xTe (x=0.05)$ ternary alloy, the typical carrier mobility is around 100 cm$^2$/V s because the Eu atom works as strong scattering center. This effect works also for the PbEuTe/PbTe and EuTe/PbTe SLs through the interdiffusion of Eu or interface roughness, and the carrier mobility decreases somewhat in the SLs, as shown in Table I.

Figure 5 shows the dependence of the Seebeck coefficients for the $p$-type PbTe, $n$-type PbTe, and $n$-type EuTe/PbTe SLs. Excellent agreement between experimental and theoretical Seebeck coefficients were obtained for $n$-type PbTe films and $n$-type EuTe/PbTe SLs, indicating considerably high Seebeck coefficient in the EuTe/PbTe SLs compared to the PbTe films. The Seebeck coefficient of the $p$-type PbTe film was higher than that of the $n$-type film, which is considered to be due to the second valence band maxima. Among three theoretical lines in $p$-type region, the dashed line shows the values that assumed no second valley effect, the dashed-dotted line was assumed to be the model in Fig. 4(a), and the dashed-double dotted line was assumed to be the model in Fig. 4(b). Good agreement was obtained in

### TABLE I. Typical electrical properties of the PbTe films and EuTe/PbTe SLs with the electrical property of PbEuTe/PbTe SL for comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Structure (nm/nm)</th>
<th>Resistivity ($\Omega$ cm)</th>
<th>Mobility (cm$^2$/V s)</th>
<th>Carrier type</th>
<th>Carrier conc. (cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>Dopant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PbTe</td>
<td>0.00346</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>2.3 $\times$ 10$^{18}$</td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbTe</td>
<td>0.00284</td>
<td>1410</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>1.6 $\times$ 10$^{18}$</td>
<td>Bi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbEuTe/PbTe</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>0.00156</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>7.4 $\times$ 10$^{18}$</td>
<td>Bi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuTe/PbTe</td>
<td>3/11</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>1.7 $\times$ 10$^{18}$</td>
<td>Bi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuTe/PbTe</td>
<td>3/6.2</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>5.0 $\times$ 10$^{18}$</td>
<td>Bi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Theoretical calculation of the Seebeck coefficient was presented in this paper, taking into account nonparabolicity and anisotropy of effective masses. The dependence of the Seebeck coefficients on the carrier concentration was calculated for $n$-type PbTe, $p$-type PbTe, and EuTe/PbTe SLs. The Seebeck coefficient of $p$-type PbTe becomes higher owing to the contribution from second valence band maxima. In [001] EuTe/PbTe SL, the Seebeck coefficient along SL layer becomes higher than that perpendicular to the layer, and higher thermoelectric figure of merit is expected in the layer plane. In EuTe/PbTe [111] SL, the Seebeck coefficient perpendicular to the layer increases considerably compared to that along the layer. This is due to the relatively small carrier concentration in the oblique valleys which determines the Seebeck coefficient. Seebeck coefficients for $n$-type PbTe, $p$-type PbTe, and $n$-type EuTe/PbTe SLs were measured and good agreement between theoretical and experimental values was obtained.

IV. SUMMARY

The theoretical calculation of the Seebeck coefficient was presented in this paper, taking into account nonparabolicity and anisotropy of effective masses. The dependence of the Seebeck coefficients on the carrier concentration was calculated for $n$-type PbTe, $p$-type PbTe, and EuTe/PbTe SLs. The Seebeck coefficient of $p$-type PbTe becomes higher owing to the contribution from second valence band maxima. In [001] EuTe/PbTe SL, the Seebeck coefficient along SL layer becomes higher than that perpendicular to the layer, and higher thermoelectric figure of merit is expected in the layer plane. In EuTe/PbTe [111] SL, the Seebeck coefficient perpendicular to the layer increases considerably compared to that along the layer. This is due to the relatively small carrier concentration in the oblique valleys which determines the Seebeck coefficient. Seebeck coefficients for $n$-type PbTe, $p$-type PbTe, and $n$-type EuTe/PbTe SLs were measured and good agreement between theoretical and experimental values was obtained.
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